PSEUDOGUAIANOLIDES AND OTHER CONSTITUENTS FROM ANISOPAPPUS PINNATIFIDUS AND ANTIPHIONA SPECIES

C. ZDERO and F BOHLMANN

Institute for Organic Chemistry, Technical University of Berlin, D-1000 Berlin 12, F R G

(Received 21 June 1988)

Key Word Index—Anisopappus pinnatifidus, Antiphiona fragrans, A pinnatisecta; Compositae, sesquiterpene lactones; pseudoguaianolides, diterpene, epoxyanol and epoxyisoeugenol derivatives, thymol derivatives.

Abstract—The aerial parts of *Anisopappus pinnatifidus* afforded 15 new pseudoguaianolides, all related to helenalin and linearifolin B, respectively, a diterpene diol and two known melampolides while the roots contain five epoxyanol and two epoxyisoeugenol derivatives. Two *Antiphiona* species contain naringenin, thymol and several derivatives of the latter. One species also afforded dihydrogeigeranolide. The structures were elucidated by high field ¹H NMR spectroscopy.

INTRODUCTION

The taxonomy of the South African representatives of the subtribe Inulinae (Compositae, tribe Inuleae) is still not really solved [1]. At the end of the first series of this subtribe a group of genera is placed with low chromosome numbers including the predominantly African genera Anisopappus, Antiphiona, Calostephane and Mollera [1]. As little was known on the chemistry of these genera we have collected some of the representatives for a chemical investigation and the results are discussed in this paper.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The genus Antiphiona with two species is only present in South West Africa. Antiphiona fragrans (Merxm.) Merxm. is a small shrub with strongly smelling leaves. The aerial parts contain high concentrations of thymol and naringenin as well as small amounts of crystalline dihydrogetgeranolide (33), which has been isolated in traces as an oil from a Geigeria species [2], and the dihydrocinnamate 27. The structure of the latter compound followed from its ¹H NMR spectrum. The relative position of the ester group could be deduced by comparing the chemical shift of H-8 with that of thymohydroquinone.

The aerial parts of the second species, A. pinnatisecta (S Moore) Merxm. also gave naringenin but no thymol. The latter was replaced by a group of derivatives, the thymohydroquinones 28-30 and the corresponding quinones 31 and 32. Furthermore, lavandulol, γ -humulene and taraxasteryl acetate were isolated The relative position of the acetate group in 28-30 was determined by NOE difference spectroscopy. Thus in the case of 28 a NOE between H-5, H-8 and the acetate methyl, in the case of 29 between the latter and H-7 and in the case of 30 between H-6, H-7 and the acetate methyl. In a previous paper [3] the substituents at C-3 and C-5 have been exchanged.

The genus Anisopappus originally only had three species [4] but now about 30 species, mostly tropical, have

been transferred to this genus [1]. Nothing was known about their chemistry. The aerial parts of Anisopappus pinnatifidus (Klatt.) O Hoffm ex Hutch (= Matricaria pinnatifida) afforded the pseudoguaianolides 1–11, the linearifolin B derivatives 12–15, the urospermal derivatives 16 and 17 [5] and the diterpene 18. The roots gave the anol derivatives 19–23 and the isoeugenol derivatives 24 and 25

The ¹H NMR spectrum of 1 (Table 1) indicated the presence of a pseudoguaianolide with a 2,3-double bond and a 4-oxo group as revealed by the typical group of signals at $\delta 3.14$ (ddd), 7 72 (dd) and 6 10 (dd). Furthermore, the characteristic signals for an isobutyrate and a hydroxymethacrylate were visible and acetylation afforded a monoacetate (1a). The observed downfield shift of the H-4' signal established the proposed nature of the ester group. Spin decoupling allowed the assignment of all signals Irradiation at $\delta 3.67$ indicated that this signal was due to H-7 as the exomethylene signals collapsed to singlets Furthermore, a broadened singlet at δ 5.35 was sharpened and a double doublet at $\delta 4.76$ collapsed to a doublet These findings showed that a 12,8-olide was present with ester groups at C-6 and C-9. The stereochemistry and the relative position of the ester residues were determined by NOE difference spectroscopy Saturation of H-8 gave an effect with H-7 (8%), of H-10 with H-15 (7%) and H-1 (4%), of H-15 with H-10 (12%), H-6 (10%) and H-3 (3%), of H-9 with H-8 (6%), H-1 (8%) and the signals of the isobutyrate, of H-6 with H-15 (7%), H-7 (8%), H-13' (6%) and the methylene signals of the hydroxymethacrylate. Thus lactone 1 is a derivative of helenalin A corresponding pseudoguaianolide with identical substitution and stereochemistry is the antineoplastic lactone multigilin with a 6α -angeloyloxy and a 9β hydroxy group [6] Accordingly, the ¹H NMR spectra are similar.

The ¹H NMR spectra of 2 and 5 (Table 1) indicated the presence of the corresponding diangelate and the dimethacrylate, respectively, while that of 3 required a mixed diester with an angelate and a methacrylate residue. Comparison of the chemical shifts of H-6 in the spectra of 2 and 3 allowed the assignment of the relative positions of

1a, 8a-15a and 18a are the corresponding acetates

the ester groups in the latter This is also true for the other lactones (4 and 6-11). Their ¹H NMR spectra, or those of the corresponding acetates 8a-11a (Table 1), indicated the nature of the ester groups again directly from the typical ¹H NMR signals The isovalerates 7 and 10a could not be obtained free from the corresponding ange-

This is also true for the lactones 12-15 which were isolated as two pairs of their acetates 12a/13a and 14a/15a Inspection of the ¹H NMR spectra (Table 2) indicated that we were dealing with δ -lactones as followed from the downfield shift of the H-13 signals and the absence of a γ-lactone IR band. The spectra were very similar to that of a linearifolin B derivative [7] which only differs in the nature of the ester groups. The stereochemistry was established by the observed NOE's Saturation of H-15 gave strong effects with H-10 and H-6

The diol 18 was isolated as its diacetate 18a Inspection of its ¹H NMR spectrum (Experimental) indicated that the compounds had only one double bond. The molecular formula was deduced from m/z 395 (C₂₃H₃₉O₅) which obviously was formed by loss of methyl as a further fragment, m/z 352, most likely was formed by loss of acetone All data therefore indicated the presence of a diterpene diacetate with one ring The 13Ĉ NMR spectrum (Experimental) showed two singlets for oxygen bearing carbons Accordingly, an ether ring was very likely In agreement with the base peak in the mass spectrum (m/z 127) a ring was proposed between C-11 and C-15 The relative configuration at C-7 and C-11 could not be determined

C

The root extract afforded a complex mixture of anol and isoeugenol epoxide derivatives. Finally the esters 19-25 were obtained which, however, could not be completely separated from each other The ¹H NMR spectrum (Table 3) of 19 indicated that an epoxide of esterified anol was present. The configuration of the epoxide followed from the coupling $J_{7,8}$ which typically differs from the corresponding cis-epoxides [8] The nature of the ester group was deduced from the sequence obtained by spin decoupling and from the mass spectrum which required a C₆-ester The ¹H NMR spectrum of 20

(Table 3) required the presence of the corresponding isovalerate and that of 23 indicated a 9-isovaleryloxy derivative of 20 The ¹H NMR signals of 21 and 22 indicated isomeric diesters where in one case the isovalerate residue of 23 at C-9 was replaced by a 3-methylvalerate and in the other the phenolic hydroxyl was esterified with this C-6 ester The ¹H NMR spectra of 24 and 25 (Table 3) showed that the 3-methoxy derivatives of 19 and 20 were present as followed from the changed pattern of the aromatic protons while the remaining signals were similar in both series A similar isoeugenol derivative with isobutyrate groups of a cisepoxide has been reported from a Coreopsis species [9]

33

The chemistry of an Anisopappus and two Antiphiona species indicates no relationships between these genera Pseudoguaianolides in addition to mainly guaianolides are reported from Geigeria species [10] which are placed by Merxmüller et al [1] in the next group of genera. However, these lactones are especially characteristic for the genus Helenium and related ones [11]. The proposed relationship of Anisopappus to Asteriscus [1] is also not supported by the chemistry The chemistry of Antiphiona

is not very characteristic. Thymol and its derivatives, however, are reported from several *Inula*, *Pluchea* and *Blumea* species, all placed at least in the same subtribe.

The cudesmanolides from Calostephane divaricatum [12] are very different from those of the Anisopappus species, therefore the proposed relationship also is in doubt Further investigations are necessary to clarify the taxonomically complicated situation of this subtribe.

EXPERIMENTAL

The air-dried plant material was collected in March 1988 in Namibia (vouchers are deposited in the SW African Herbarium at Windhoek) Extracts and separations were performed as reported previously [13] TLC (silica gel) Et₂O-petrol [3 1 (T1), 1 1 (T2), 1 3 (T3), 1 9 (T4)] HPLC always used RP 8, MeOH-H₂O (7 3) flow rate 3 ml/min

The extract of Anisopappus pinnatifidus (voucher 88/16, collected ca 50 km east of Windhoek, 250 g aerial parts) gave by CC a polar fraction with Et₂O and Et₂O-MeOH (9:1) HPLC gave 6 mg 16 (R_r 0 4 min), 2 mg 17 (R_r 0 9 min), 90 mg 3 (R_t 5 3 min), 60 mg 1 (R_r 1 7 min) and four mixtures (1-4) Fraction 1 was

Table 1 'H NMR spectral data of compounds 1-8 and 9a 11a (400 MHz, CDCl3, à values)

H		2	6	4	~	9	7	œ	93	108	E	multiplicity
						,						
-		3 19	3 20	3 15	317	3 11	3 08	3 20	3 16	3 13	3 14	ddd
2	7.72	7.72	7 73	772	7.72	7.73	7.72	773	7.73	7.72	772	pp
3		6 11	611	6 11	611	611	6 11	6 12	6 10	6 10	609	qq
9		5 34	5 31	5.31	5 32	5 22	5 21	5 42	5 34	5 33	5 36	br s
7		3.71	3 70	3 66	3 68	3 66	3 64	3.76	3.71	3 69	3.71	pppp
∞		4 8 5	4 85	4 76	4 84	4 86	4 80	4 84	4 84	4 7 7	4 78	dd
6		5 10	5 10	4 99	2 06	5 09	5 00	5 14	5 0 7	4 9 7	4 97	pp
		2.57	2 58	2 53	2 55	2 53	2 47	2 59	2 56	2 56	2 52	ddq
13		6 4 9	6 4 9	647	647	6 48	6 48	6 4 9	6 49	6 49	6 4 9	p
		6 30	627	6 24	6 24	6 23	6 23	97 9	6 26	6 26	6 2 9	d
4-		1 25	1 26	1 24	1 25	1 27	1 25	1 25	1 26	1 26	1 24	d
15		1 03	1 03	1 02	1 03	1 02	101	101	1 03	1 03	1 03	s
6 OCOR		6 12 <i>qq</i>	594 br s	592 br s	5 93 hr s	273 d	273 d	6 36 tq		6 26 br s	6519	
		1 95 dq	5 57 dq	5 57 dq	5 57 dq	2 70 t	2 69 d	1 99 d		5 89 br s	2 09 d	
		1 78 dq	188 br s	186 br s	187 hr s	152 s	1 52 s	4 23 dt	469 hr s	4 68 br s	4 59 d	
								4 15 dt			455,d	
OAc									2 08 5	207 5	2 04 s	
9 OCOR	2 62 qq	6 20 qq		2 64 qy	621 br s	6 20 <i>qq</i>	2 27 dd	6 21 qq	6 19 qq	2 27 dd	2 64 qq	
	1 19 d	204 dq	2 04 dq	1 21 d	5 67 dq	2 04 dq	2 15 199	2 04 dq	2 03 dq	215 m	1 21 d	
	1 18 d	1 94 dq		1 19 d		1 93 dq	P L60			p 160	1 19 d	
			-		×		-		-		-	

 $I[Hz] 1.2 = 15, 1.3 = 27, 1.10 = 2, 2.3 = 55, 6.7 \sim 1, 78 = 75, 7.13 = 25, 7.13 = 28.9 = 2, 9.10 \sim 11, 10, 14 = 65, OrBu 2.3 = 3.4 = 7 OCOC(CH₂OH) = CH₂ 3.3° = 3.4 = 7 OCOC(CH₂OH) = CH₂ 3.3° = 3.4 \sim 1, OCOC(CH₂OH) = 3.4 \sim 7, 3.5 = 1$

multı-Н la 8a 12a 13a 14a 15a plicity 1 3 13 ddd 3 17 ddd 3 24 3 20 3 22 3 19 ddd2 773 dd 772 dd 7 64 7 63 7 63 761 dd 3 611 dd 6 09 dd 6.12 611 6 10 dd 5 35 br s 5.35 br s 6 5 37 5 34 5 38 5 36 d 7 3 69 dddd 3 74 dddd 3 59 3 54 361 3 56 br s 8 478 dd 4 85 dd 5 50 5.40 5 49 5 40 br s g 5 06 dd 497 dd 471 4.66 472 4 65 br d 10 2 53 ddg 2 55 ddq 2 44 243 dq 13 6 50 d 6 50 d 679 679 br s 13' 625 d 6 29 d 609 6 10 br s 14 1 24 d 1 26 d 1 44 143 d 15 1 03 5 103 s 1 08 1.07 1 08 1 07 s 6-OCOR 470 br s 651 q 474 d 651q651 q 623 br s 209 d 469 d 2 10 d 2 10 d 5 89 br s 4 60 d 6 28 br s 4 59 br s 4.59 br s 4 55 d 589 br s 8-OCOR 2 64 qq 6 19 qq 6 18 qq 2 17 d 617 gg 217 d

Table 2 ¹H NMR spectral data of compounds 1a, 8a and 12a-15a (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ-values)

J [Hz] Compounds 1a and 8a, see Table 1 Compounds 12a-15a 1,2=15, 1,3=3, 1,10=12; 2,3=6, 6,7=35, 7,8=15, 7,13=7,9=8,9=9,10~1, 10,14=7, OCOR, see Table 1.

207 m

0.91 d

2.07 s

191 dq

1 82 dq

202 s

205 m

091 d

201 \$

H	19		20	21*		22†	23‡	24		25
2)	· 704 d		} 7 06 d		705 d	} 7 06 d		6.82 d	
6	Ì	· / 04 a		} / 06 a		} / US a	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		6 87 dd	
3)	7 26 d			728 d		} 7 28 d		_	
5	Š	> 1 20 a			\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		698 d	
7	Ź	3 58 d			3 81 d		3 81 d		3 57 d	
8		3~00~dq			3 22 ddd		3 23 ddd		299 dq	
9)	1.44 d		4 47 dd	4 11 dd	4 48 dd	4 47 dd		} 1 44 d	
9′)	l					4 11 dd		}	
OCOR	2 55 dd		2 42 d	2 55 dd		2 43 d	2 43 d	2 57 dd		2.44 d
	2 34 dd		2 23 tqq	2.34 dd		2.24 tqq	2.24 tqq	2 35 dd		2 25 tqq
	2 02 ddt		1 05 d	2 03 ddt		1 04 d	1 06 d	2 04 ddt		1 06 d
	1 31 <i>dq</i>			1 33 dq				1 32 dq		
	095 t			093t				095 t		
	1 03 d			1 05 d				1 04 d		

Table 3 ¹H NMR spectral data of compounds 19–25 (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ -values)

*9-QCQR. 2.26.d, 2.13.m, 0.97.d, † 9-QCQR. 2.45.dd, 2.24.dd, 1.90.ddr, 1.30.m, 0.92.r, 1.05.d, †9-QCQR. 2.26.d, 2.13.m, 0.98.d; d [Hz]. 2;3 = 5,6 = 8, 2;6 = 1.7; 7;8 = 2; 8;9 = 5 (compounds 21-23 8;9 = 4; 8;9' = 6; 9;9' = 12); OCOCH₂CHMeEt 2;2' = 15; 2;3 = 6; 2',3 = 8; 3;4 = 4,5 = 3,6 = 7, OtVal 2,3 = 3,4 = 3,5 = 7

acetylated (Ac_2O , 1 hr, 70°) and separated by TLC (T1 ×2) affording 2 mg 8a (R_f 0.60) and three mixtures (1/1–1/3) HPLC of 1/1 gave 3 mg 11a (R_t 4 7 min), 2 mg 9a (R_t 5 5 min) and 1 mg 10a (containing 9a, R_t 5 4 min). HPLC of 1/2 afforded 3 mg 1a (R_t 2 7 min) and 3 mg 14a and 15a (Ca 2·1) (Ca 5.8 min). HPLC of 1/3 gave 2 mg 1a and 5 mg 12a and 13a (Ca 2·1) (Ca 5.2 min)

1 19 d

1 18 d

208 s

OAc

203 dq

193 dq

205 s

191 dq

1 82 dq

2.08 s

TLC of fraction 2 (T1) gave 6 mg 8 (R_f 0.40), 3 mg 6 (R_f 0.52), 2 mg of a mixture of 6 and 7 (R_f 0.50) and a mixture which gave

by TLC (T2, $5 \times$) 25 mg 4 (R_f 0.65) and 35 mg 5 (R_f 0.60) Fraction 3 was acetylated (see above). TLC (T2) gave 10 mg 18a (R_f 0.35). TLC of fraction 4 (T1) gave 10 mg 2 (R_f 0.60) and 10 mg 3 (R_f 0.52). The extract of 35 g of roots gave by CC two non-polar fractions (Et₂O-petrol, 1 9 and 1 3) TLC of fraction 1 (T4, \times 2) gave 20 mg 19 (R_f 0.48) and 5 mg 20 (R_f 0.44). TLC of the second fraction (T3) gave 6 mg 21/22 (ca 1.1) (R_f 0.60), 15 mg 23 (R_f 0.51) and 4 mg 24/25 (ca 1.1) (R_f 0.42).

9 β -Isobutyryloxyhelenalın-[4-hydroxymethacrylate] (1) Colourless glass, IR $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm CHCl_1}$ cm $^{-1}$ 3505 (OH), 1770 (γ -lactone), 1720 (CO₂R), MS m/z (rel int) 330 147 [M - R 1 CO₂H] $^{+}$ (3) (calc for C₁₉H₂₂O₅ 330 147), 260 [330 - O=C=CMe₂] $^{+}$ (40), 242 [330 - RCO₂H] $^{+}$ (39), 85 [R 1 CO] $^{+}$ (68), 71 [R 2 CO] $^{+}$ (100), [α] $_{\rm D}^{2}$ 2 -21 (CHCl₃, c 6 38) Acetylation afforded Ia, colourless gum, MS m/z (rel int) 474 189 [M] $^{+}$ (0 1) (calc for C₂₅H₃₀O₉ 474 189), 386 [M - R 1 CO₂H] $^{+}$ (0 8), 330 [M - R 2 CO₂H] $^{+}$ (1), 242 [330 - R 1 CO₂H] $^{+}$ (25), 127 [R 1 CO] $^{+}$ (100), 71 [R 2 CO] $^{+}$ (42)

9 β -Angeloyloxyhelenalın angelate (2) Colourless gum, IR $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm CC14}$ cm $^{-1}$ 1780 (γ -lactone), 1725, 1640 (C=CCO $_2$ R), MS m/z (rel int.) 442 199 [M] $^+$ (1) (calc for C $_2$ 5 H_{30} O $_7$ 442 199), 342 [M $_-$ RCO $_2$ H] $^+$ (2), 314 [342 $_-$ CO] $^+$ (17), 242 [342 $_-$ RCO $_2$ H] $^+$ (14), 83 [RCO] $^+$ (100)

9 β -Angeloyloxyhelenalin methacrylate (3) Colourless gum, IR $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm CCla}$ cm $^{-1}$ 1780 (γ -lactone), 1725, 1640 (C=CCO $_2$ R 1), MS m/z (rel int) 428 184 [M] $^+$ (0.5) (calc for C $_2$ 4 H_2 8 O_7 428 184), 342 [M-R 1 CO $_2$ H] $^+$ (1.3) 260 [342-O=C=C=(Me)CH=CH $_2$] $^+$ (3.5), 242 (342-R 1 CO $_2$ H] $^+$ (18) 83 [R 1 CO] $^+$ (66), 69 [R 2 CO] $^+$ (100)

9 β -1 sobut yry loxyhelenalin methacrylate (4) Colourless gum, IR $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm CC1a}$ cm $^{-1}$ 1780 (γ -lactone), 1730 (${\rm CO_2R^1}$), MS m/z (rel int) 416 184 [M] $^+$ (0 1) (calc for ${\rm C_{23}H_{28}O_7}$ 416 184), 330 [M $^-$ MeacrOH] $^+$ (0 8), 328 [M $^ \iota$ BuOH] $^+$ (0 2), 300 [328 $^-$ CO] $^+$ (3), 260 [330 $^-$ O=C=CMe₂] $^+$ (12), 242 [330 $^ \iota$ BuOH] $^+$ (14), 71 [R 1 CO] $^+$ (18), 69 [R 2 CO] $^+$ (100)

9β-Methacryloyloxyhelenalin methacrylate (5) Colourless gum, IR $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm CCIa}$ cm⁻¹ 1780 (γ-lactone), 1725, 1640 (C=CCO₂R), MS m/z (rel int) 328 131 [M-RCO₂H]⁺ (0.3) (calc for C₁₉H₂₀O₅ 328 131), 242 [328-RCO₂H]⁺ (1.8), 86 [RCO₂H]⁺ (100); [α]_D²⁴ -15 (CHCl₃, ϵ 3.26)

9 β -Angeloyloxyhelenalın-[2,3-epoxyısobutyrate] (6) Colourless oil, IR $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm cCl_4}$ cm $^{-1}$ 1785 (y-lactone), 1740 (CO $_2$ R 1), 1725 (C=CCO $_2$ R 1), MS m/z (rel int) 444 178 [M] $^+$ (07) (calc for C $_2$ 4 $_1$ 8O $_8$ 444 178), 344 [M-AngOH] $^+$ (17), 342 [M-R 1 CO $_2$ H] $^+$ (24), 242 [M-2×R 1 CO $_2$ H] $^+$ (50), 85 [R 1 CO] $^+$ (37), 83 [R 2 CO] $^+$ (100)

9β-Isovaleryloxyhelenalin-[2,3-epoxyisobutyrate] (7) Colourless oil, not free from **6**, IR v_{\max}^{Cla} cm⁻¹ 1780 (γ-Jactone), 1740 (CO₂R), MS m/z (rel int) 446 194 [M]⁺ (0.5), 344 [M-RCO₂H]⁺ (3), 242 [344-RCO₂H]⁺ (42), 85 [RCO]⁺ (52), 57 [85-CO]⁺ (100)

9 β -Angeloyloxyhelenalın-[5-hydroxyangelate] (8) Colourless gum, IR ν_{max}^{CC1} cm⁻¹ 3500 (OH), 1780 (γ -lactone), 1725 (C=CCO₂R¹), MS m/z (rel int) 458 194 [M] + (0 3) (calc for C₂₅H₃₀O₈ 458 194), 440 [M-H₂O] + (0 3), 360 [M-O=C=C(CH₂OH)CH=CH₂] + (28), 342 [M-R¹CO₂H] + (8), 260 [360-R¹CO₂H] + (37), 242 [342-R¹CO₂H] + (100) Acetylation afforded 8a, colourless gum, IR ν_{max}^{CC1} cm⁻¹ 1780 (γ -lactone), 1730 (CO₂R¹), MS m/z (rel int) 500 205 [M] + (0 2) (calc for C₂₇H₃₂O₉ 500 205), 400 [M-R¹CO₂H] + (13), 342 [M-R²CO₂H] + (2), 242 [342-R¹CO₂H] + (61), 141 [R²CO] + (98), 83 [R¹CO] + (100), 81 [141-HOAc] + (99)

9 β -Angeloyloxyhelenalın-[4-hydroxymethacrylate] (9) Isolated as its acetate 9a, colourless gum, IR $v_{\rm max}^{\rm CCla}$ cm $^{-1}$ 1780 (7-lactone), 1730 (CO₂R), MS m/z (rel int.) 486 189 [M]⁺ (0.5) (calc for C₂₆H₃₀O₉ 486 189), 342 [M-RCO₂H]⁺ (0.2), 242 [342-RCO₂H]⁺ (5), 127 [RCO]⁺ (20), 83 [RCO]⁺ (100)

9β-Isocaleryloxyhelenalin-[4-hydroxymethacrylate] (10) Isocaled as its acetate 10a, not free from 9a, IR $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm CCl}$ cm $^{-1}$ 1780 (γ-lactone), 173 Ω (CO₂R), MS m/z (rel im) 488 205 [M] + (0 1), 242 [M $-2 \times$ RCO₂H] + (16), 127 [RCO] + (82), 85 [RCO] + (100)

9 β -Isobutyryloxyhelenalin-[5-hydroxyangelate] (11) Isolated as its acetate 11a, colourless gum, IR $\nu_{\text{max}}^{\text{CCI}_4}$ cm⁻¹ 1780 (γ -lactone), 1740, 1245 (OAc), 1725 (C=CO₂R), MS m/z (rel int)

488 205 [M] $^+$ (0.1), 400 [M-RCO $_2$ H] $^+$ (1), 340 [400 -HOAc] $^+$ (5), 242 [300-RCO $_2$ H] $^+$ (31), 141 [RCO] $^+$ (100), 81 [141 -HOAc] $^+$ (76), 71 [RCO] $^+$ (51)

6α-[hydroxymethacryloyloxy]-6-Desacyloxy-linearifolin B angelate and isovalerate (12 and 13) Isolated as their acetates 12a and 13a, IR $v_{\rm max}^{\rm CCla}$ cm $^{-1}$ 1750 (OAc, δ-lactone, CO₂R), MS m/z (rel int) 502 221 and 500 205 [M] $^+$ (0.2 and 0.6) (calc for C₂₇H₃₄O₉ 502 221 and for C₂₇H₃₂O₉ 500 205), 400 [M R 1 CO₂H] $^+$ (4), 344 and 342 [M $^-$ R 2 CO₂H] $^+$ (2 and 3), 242 [400 $^-$ R 2 CO₂H] $^+$ (20), 141 [R 1 CO] $^+$ (100), 85 [C₄H $_9$ CO] $^+$ (21), 83 [C₄H $_7$ CO] $^+$ (80), 81 [141 $^-$ HOAc] $^+$ (73)

6α-[4-hydroxymethacryloyloxy]-6-Desaycyloxy-linearifolm *B* angelate and isovalerate (14 and 15) Isolated as their acetates 14a and 15a, colourless gum, IR $v_{\rm max}^{\rm CO_1}$ cm $^{-1}$ 1740 (OAc, δ-lactone, CO₂R), MS m/z (rel int) 488 205 and 486 189 [M] $^+$ (0 4 and 0 6) (calc for C₂₆H₃₂O₉ 488 205 and for C₂₆H₃₀O₉ 486 189), 127 [RCO] $^+$ (100), 85 [C₄H₉CO] $^+$ (21), 83 [C₄H₂CO] $^+$ (8)

1,2-Dthydroxy-11,15-epoxyphyt-3(20)-ene (18) Isolated as its diacetate 18a, colourless gum, IR $v_{\rm max}^{\rm CCL}$ or 1 745 (OAc), MS m/z (rel int) 395 280 [M-Me] (25) (calc for $C_{23}H_{39}O_5$ 395 280), 352 [M-HOAc] (1) 335 [395 - HOAc] (22), 267 (52), 225 (22), 127 [X] (100), 109 [127 - H_2O] (95), 81 (52), 69 (81), 1 NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) ϕ 4 10 and 4 26 (dd, H-1), 5 37 (dd, H-2), 2 03 (t, H-4), 1 44 and 1 30 (m, CH₂), 1 22 (s, H-16, H-17), 1 17 (s, H-18), 0 87 (d, H-19), 5 08 and 4 97 (br s, H-20), J [Hz] 1,2=3, 1',2=8, 1,1'=12, 4,5=7,19=7, 13C NMR (CDCl₃, 67 9 MHz, C-1 - C-20) ϕ 64 6 t, 73 8 d, 144 6 s, 33 2 t, 25 0 t, 37 5 t, 32 6 d, 36 5 t, 21 3 t, 42 3 t 72 8 s, 42 3 t, 18 7 t, 44 4 t, 71 0 s, 26 9 q, 29 3 q, 29 3 q, 19 6 q, 112 4 t, OAc 170 8 s, 170 1 s, 20 8 q, 21 1 q (some signals may be interchangeable)

trans-7,8-Epoxyanol-[3-methyltalerate] (19) Colourless oil, IR $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm CCL_4}$ cm $^{-1}$ 1760 (PhOCOR), MS m,z (rel int.) 248 141 [M] $^+$ (9) (calc for $C_{15}H_{20}O_3$ 248 141), 150 [M - O=C = CHCHMeFt] $^+$ (100) 107 [150 - COMe] $^+$ (44), 106 [C_7H_6O] $^+$ (73), 99 [RCO] $^+$ (42), 71 [99 - CO] $^+$ (57), [α] $_0^{24}$ - 21 $^+$ (CHCl $_3$, c 101)

trans-7,8-Epoxy anol isovalerate (20) Colourless oil, IR $v_{\rm max}^{\rm CCl4}$ cm $^{-1}$ 1760 (PhOCOR), MS m/z (rel int) 234 126 [M] $^+$ (7) (calc for ${\rm C_{14}H_{18}O_3}$ 234 126), 150 [M - O = CHCHMe $_2$] $^+$ (100), 107 (61), 106 (73), 85 (20), 57 [85 - CO] $^+$ (64)

9-Isovaleryloxy-trans-7,8-epoxyanol-[3-methylvalerate] and 9-[3-methylvaleryloxy]-trans-7,8-epoxyanol isovalerate (21 and 22) Colourless oil which could not be separated, IR $v_{\max}^{\text{CCL}_4}$ cm $^{-1}$ 1760 (PhOCOR), 1740 (CO $_2$ R), MS m/z (rel int.) 348 194 [M]+ (0.1) (calc for $C_{20}H_{28}O_5$ 348 194), 264 [M-O=C=CHCHMe $_2$]+ (0.5), 250 [M-O=C=CHCHMe $_2$]+ (2), 166 [250-O=C=CHCHMe $_2$]+ (4), 99 [RCO]+ (17), 85 [RCO]+ (64), 57 [85-CO]+ (100)

9-Isovaleroyloxy-trans-7,8-epoxyanol isovalerate (23) Colourless oil, IR $v_{\text{max}}^{\text{CCLs}}$ cm $^{-1}$ 1760 (PhOCOR), 1740 (CO₂R), MS m/z (rel int) 334 178 [M] $^+$ (calc for C₁₉H₂₆O₅ 334 178), 250 [M $^-$ O=C=CHCHMe₂] $^+$ (25), 85 [RCO] $^+$ (69), 57 [85 $^-$ CO] $^+$ (100), [α] $_{\text{D}}^{\text{24}}$ - 19 $^\circ$ (CHCl₃, ϵ 149)

trans-7,8-Epoxyisoeugenol-[3-methylialerate]-and isovalerate (24 and 25) Colourless oil which could not be separated, IR $v_{\rm max}^{\rm CCL_1}$ cm⁻¹ 1760 (PhOCOR), 1740 (CO₂R), MS m_z (rel int) 278 152 and 264 136 [M]⁺ (1 3 and 1 9) (calc for C₁₆H₂₂O₄ 278 152 and for C₁₅H₂₀O₄ 264 136), 180 [M-RCH=C=O]⁺

$$X = \bigcup_{0^+}$$

(84), 137 (56), 136 (67), 99 [RCO]⁺ (10), 85 [RCO]⁺ (25), 71 [99 -CO]⁺ (40), 57 [85 -CO]⁺ (100).

The aerial parts (200 g) of Antiphiona fragrans (voucher 88/115, collected at the Brandberg, Namibia) gave by CC and TLC 3 g 26, 3 g naringenin, 20 mg 27 (TLC, CHCl₃-C₆H₆-Et₂O, 9.9:2, R_f 0 65) and 10 mg 33; colourless crystals, mp 128°; $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ + 43° (CHCl₃, c 0.24), ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 67 8 MHz, C-1-C-15) δ 46 0, 26 3, 26 3, 26.4, 53 4, 33 6, 44.4, 78.1, 39.0, 50.2, 44 4, 180.3, 15 2, 20 9, 18 5

Thymohydroquinone-5-O-3-phenyl propionate (27). Colourless oil, IR $v_{max}^{\text{CCL}_4}$ cm⁻¹ 3600 (OH), 1750 (PhOCOR); MS m/z (rel. int): 298 157 [M]⁺ (5.5) (calc for $C_{19}H_{22}O_3$ 298.157), 166 [M $-O=C=CHCH_2Ph]^+$ (100), 151 [166 $-Me]^+$ (35), 133 [RCO]⁺ (7), 105 [133 $-CO]^+$ (23), 91 [C_7H_7]⁺ (22), ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) $\delta 6$ 67 (s, H-3), 6.65 (s, H-6), 2.16 (s, H-7), 2 72 (qq, H-8, J=7, 7 Hz), 1 09 (d, H-9, H-10, J=7), PhCH₂CHCO₂R 7 35–7 19 (m), 3 09 (br t, J=7), 2 90 (t, J=7)

The aerial parts (180 g) of Antiphiona pinnatisecta (voucher 88/86, collected near Tsumeb, Namibia) gave by CC and TLC 20 mg γ -humulene, 50 mg lavandulol, 10 mg taraxasterylacetate, 15 g naringenin, 3 mg 28 (HPLC, MeOH-H₂O, 7·3, R_t 3.3 min), 400 mg 29 (HPLC, MeOH-H₂O, 13:7, R_t 25 min), 200 mg 30 (dito, R_t 47 min), 5 mg 32 and 40 mg 31, yellow crystals, mp 174°

Thymohydroquinone-6-O-acetate (28) Colourless oil, IR $v_{\text{max}}^{\text{CCl}_4}$ cm⁻¹ 3600 (OH), 1760 (PhOAc), MS m/z (rel int) 208 110 [M]⁺ (12) (calc for $C_{12}H_{16}O_3$ · 208.110), 166 [M – ketene]⁺ (92), 151 [166 – Me]⁺ (100), ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 6 79 (s, H-3), 6 58 (s, H-6), 2 08 (s, H-7), 3 13 (qq, H-8, J = 7, 7 Hz), 1 22 (d, H-9, H-10), 2 30 (s, OAc)

2-Acetoxy-5-hydroxythymol (29) Colourless oil, IR $v_{\text{max}}^{\text{CCI}}$ cm⁻¹. 3600 (OH), 1760 (PhOAc); MS m/z (rel int.) 224.105 [M]⁺ (16) (calc for $C_{12}H_{16}O_4$ 224.105), 182 [M-ketene]⁺ (84), 167 [182 – Me]⁺ (100); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 6 15 (s, H-6), 2.03 (s, H-7), 3 42 (qq, H-8, J = 7, 7 Hz), 1 33 (d, H-9, H-10, J = 7), 2.33 (s, OAc)

5-Acetoxy-2-hydroxythymol (30) Colourless oil, IR $v_{\text{max}}^{\text{CCl}}$ cm⁻¹ 3600 (OH), 1760 (PhOAc); MS m/z (rel int). 224 105

[M]⁺ (17) (calc for $C_{12}H_{16}O_4$ 224 105), 182 [M – ketene]⁺ (77), 167 [182 – Me]⁺ (100), ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 6 27 (s, H-6), 2 08 (s, H-7), 3.11 (qq, H-8), 1.29 (d, H-9, H-10), 2 31 (s, OAc)

Acknowledgements—We thank Dr M Muller and Miss H. Kolberg (Southwest African Herbarium, Windhoek, Namibia) for identification of the plant material and their help during plant collection

REFERENCES

- 1 Merxmuller, H, Leins, P and Roessler, H (1977) The Biology and Chemistry of the Compositae (Heywood, V. H., Harborne, J B and Turner, B L., eds), p 590 Academic Press, London
- 2 Bohlmann, F., Zdero, C and Ahmed, M (1982) Phytochemistry 21, 1679.
- 3 Bohlmann, F, Wallmeyer, M, Jakupovic, J., Gerke, T., King, R M and Robinson, H (1985) Phytochemistry 24, 505.
- Hoffmann, O in Engler, A and Prantl, K (1889) Volume IV, 5, p 210 Leipzig
- Bohlmann, F., Singh, P and Jakupovic, J (1982) Phytochemistry 21, 2122
- Pettit, G., Herald, C. L., Gust, D., Herald, D. L. and Vanall, L. D. (1978) J. Org. Chem. 43, 1092.
- 7 Dominguez, X. A, Franco, R., Bohlmann, F and Bohlmann, R. (1980) Phytochemistry 19, 2204
- 8. Bohlmann, F and Zdero, C (1969) Chem Ber 102, 1691.
- 9 Bohlmann, F and Zdero, C. (1968) Chem. Ber 101, 3243.
- Bohlmann, F., Zdero, C and Ahmed, M (1982) Phytochemistry 21, 1679
- 11 Seaman, F C (1982) Bot. Rev. 48, 205
- Bohlmann, F, Jakupovic, J and Ahmed, M (1982) Phytochemistry 21, 2027
- 13 Bohlmann, F, Zdero, C, King, R. M and Robinson, H (1984) Phytochemistry 23, 1979.